

CIMS #	Source of issue notification	Issue description	Comment	Potential resolution
71		Consider developing a vocational graduate cert or diploma in Spatial Information	SSSI would be interested to assist develop any proposed grad certs or Diplomas in Spatial Information.	
82		Wrong header for unit CPPSEC3017A Plan and conduct evacuation of premises	On pages 507-513 the header for the unit CPPSEC3017A Plan and conduct evacuation of premises says throughout "CPPSEC3016A Defend persons using spray".	Will addressed in the next upgrade
121	TAFE WA, STA WA, BDA WA	Design Qual Project Cert IV Drafting	<p>A formal Certificate IV in Residential Drafting needs to be developed for inclusion within the Training Package, to ensure a nationally recognised, high quality, qualifications as a lead in to the building design qualifications.</p> <p>G.B. Carter from Homebuyers Centre, Mark Liddelow from Ross Squire Homes, Anthony Pritchett from Plunkett Homes, Steve Fitzpatrick from Platinum Homes, Steven Loveridge from McGrath Homes, Mark Peers from APG Homes, Dale Alcock Brown-Neaves Group and Philip Ker from Building Designers Association of WA also support this.</p> <p>Beryl Caldis from WA Department of Training and Workforce Development – Request the development of a Cert IV in Residential Drafting.Pac Discussions with RTO and industry in Western Australia have indicated a real need for a national drafting qualification at this level. WA is currently delivering a Queensland accredited course which expires in 2012. BDAA has stated strongly that the industry needs an AQF4 level qualification (rather than a skill set) in Architectural Drafting and this is also the position of HIA nationally.</p>	<p>This issue arises in the property services realm as it emerges from the project to develop qualifications for building designers. It will be raised at the CIAC and PIAC.</p> <p>There is an accredited course for residential drafting used in some but not all jurisdictions (at least QLD, WA and NT).</p> <p>There are drafting units within MEM05 but there does not appear to be a specific drafting qualification. (This needs to be confirmed).</p> <p>This request raises a number of issues. Is there a need for a drafting qual beyond the MEM units/qual. If so does that need extend to construction broadly and maybe even civil or is it limited to architectural drafting only. Is there a demand for any national qual beyond MEM05 or is there only a demand in some jurisdictions.</p> <p>If the requirement is for a qualification that covers scopes of work broader than architectural drafting then it needs to be considered more widely than property services alone and needs to include construction (CPC08), manufacturing (MEM05) and maybe civil (RI109).</p>
126	ASIAL	National Broadband Network (NBN)	<p>If, as a result of the NBN rollout, CPE equipment has to be replaced or reconfigured, this will represent a significant cost for individuals, businesses and industry.</p> <p>Other issues are: Australian Standard AS 2201.1 – AS2201.5, PSTN Emulation,</p>	CPSISC needs to engage with IBSA and EE-OZ to ensure that there is adequate coverage of the work functions in our respective Training Packages. Should undertake a scoping activity

CIMS #	Source of issue notification	Issue description	Comment	Potential resolution
			Inbound and Outbound services, NBN network upgrade, Remote configuration via low-speed dial-up modems and Network Performance.  ASIAL have approached the Chief Technology Officer to NBN Co Ltd, Mr Gary McLaren, to discuss these issues and attempt to gain the company's support to achieve a smooth transition when NBN is rolled out. ASIAL has been referred to the Communications Alliance to further follow up matter.	between ICT10 and CPP07 technical security standards.
127		CPPCL02043A – Clean using microfibre techniques	CPPCL02043A – Clean using microfibre techniques should have been written into the range statement over several units and not made as a stand alone unit. It is an optional method of cleaning not a stand alone one. I have approached industry on this matter and they feel it is not a subject they will select, but would like to see it delivered as an option of other units.	TP developer has been approached for advice but will be addressed through the existing project review processes
128		CPPCL02019A – Sort and remove waste	I am confused with the CPPCL02019A – Sort and remove waste unit that is being revised. Another element has been added to include recycling materials. It is element 5. My question is if there is an element and performance criteria set down for recycling why is it mentioned in element 1, PC 1.1 and 1.3, seems to be double up. Why can it not be incorporated in all the previous elements and PC's or have the element and PC's specific but not both. My other question is PC 5.5, Records of recyclable materials..... This is not a task that a cleaner will perform. The client of the building will monitor and record their recycling not the cleaning staff. Their responsibility is to collect and transfer to the disposal point. I have queried this point with industry clients who all agree that the client records their recycling not the cleaning staff.	TP developer has been approached for advice but will be addressed through the existing project review processes
129	Paradigm RTO	SITHACS005A Prepare rooms for guests	In PRM20104 & PRM30104 an elective unit is included and entitled: THHBH03B Prepare rooms for guests. This has been superseded by SITHACS005 A Prepare rooms for guests. This unit has two (2) pre-requisite units: SITXOHS002A Follow workplace hygiene procedures SITHACS006A Clean premises and equipment Firstly, there was no hint that THHBH03B had any pre- or co-requisites units in the old Packaging Rules and THHBH03B had the two same units as pre or co-requisites units. Perhaps you may like to pass this feedback to the developers of the Training Package that either they check all imported units to see whether they have any pre- or co-requisites, or they alert RTO's to the fact that they should check any UOC they wish to import to ascertain if there	TP developer has been approached for advice but will be addressed through the existing project review processes.  Left message with his wife but call not returned.

CIMS #	Source of issue notification	Issue description	Comment	Potential resolution
			may be any pre- or co-requisites for any given unit.	
130	Paradigm RTO	Reviewing AQF Levels of Units	<p>One problem I have encountered with clustering is units at different AQF levels . Forgive me for using the old codes, however consider PRMCL15B Maintain furniture and fittings and room dressing &amp; PRMCL16B Wash furniture and fittings. 15B is AQF 2 and 16B is AQF 3. From a practioner's point of view, there is not a huge difference between the "complexity" of these units , and naturally I would assess them at AQF 3 if the student was enrolled into Certificate III in Asset Maintenance (Cleaning Operations) Perhaps, a closer look at some of what I believe to be anomalies in the old Training Package – like reviewing the AQF level of each unit - could be reviewed before the TP is endorsed?</p>	<p>TP developer has been approached for advice but will be addressed through the existing project review processes.</p> <p>Left message with his wife but call not returned.</p>